#### CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

1516 NINTH STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95814-5512



# NOTICE OF PROPOSED AWARD Evaluation, Measurement and Verification of AB118 Program and Projects RFP # 600-10-612

Proposed Contractor: Rand Corporation

1776 Main Street

Santa Monica, CA 90407-2138

Contract Amount: \$4,474,558.00

 BIDDER
 FINAL SCORE

 Bid #1 – Rand Corporation
 125.06

 Bid #2 – Ricardo Inc.
 \* 0.00

 Bid #3 – ICF International
 121.11

 Bid #4 – Navigant Consulting, Inc.
 99.29

Questions should be directed to: Chris Craig, Contract Officer

California Energy Commission 1516 Ninth Street, MS-18 Sacramento, CA 95814

(916) 654-4397

Dated: March 6, 2012 Expires: March 13, 2012

<sup>\*</sup> Did not meet the administrative screening requirements.

### **Final Evaluation Summary**

Decreed #4	Total Points	C #4	C #2	Caarar #2	Coorer #4	Caanan #5	
Proposal #1	Possible	Scorer #1	Scorer #2	Scorer #3	Scorer #4	Scorer #5	Average
Approach to Task (Scope of Work)							
(a-1) Responses to work statement	25	20	22.5	17.5	17.5	22.5	20
(a-2) Ability to carry out tasks	10	9	8	7	7	9	8
(b) Task 2 - Evaluation Advisory Committee	8	7.2	5.6	5.6	6.4	6.4	6.24
(c) Task 3 - Evaluation Planning	10	8	9	8	7	9	8.2
(d) Task 4 - Develop Recommendations	2	1.4	1.6	1.4	1.4	1.6	1.48
(e) Task 5 - Assess Web Tool	5	4	4.5	4	3.5	4	4
(g) Task 6 - EMV&R of ARFVTP	10	8	7	7	7	8	7.4
Prime Contractor's Qualifications	20	16	16	14	14	16	15.2
Prior Work	5	4	4.5	4	3.5	4.5	4.1
Economic Benefit	2.5	2.25	2.25	2	1.75	2	2.05
Independent Professionals	2.5	1.75	2.25	2	1.75	2.25	2
Average Hourly Rate	45	40.5	40.5	40.5	40.5	40.5	40.5
Cost Justification	5	3.5	4	3.5	3.5	4	3.7
TOTAL	150	125.6	127.7	116.5	114.8	129.75	122.87

	<b>Total Points</b>						
Proposal #3	Possible	Scorer #1	Scorer #2	Scorer #3	Scorer #4	Scorer #5	Average
Approach to Task (Scope of Work)							
(a-1) Responses to work statement	25	20	22.5	20	12.5	17.5	18.50
(a-2) Ability to carry out tasks	10	5	7	7	5	8	6.40
(b) Task 2 - Evaluation Advisory Committee	8	5.6	6.4	4	4	5.6	5.12
(c) Task 3 - Evaluation Planning	10	5	9	8	7	8	7.40
(d) Task 4 - Develop Recommendations	2	1.6	1.8	1.6	1.4	1.8	1.64
(e) Task 5 - Assess Web Tool	5	4	4	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.70
(g) Task 6 - EMV&R of ARFVTP	10	7	7	7	5	7	6.60
Prime Contractor's Qualifications	20	14	16	14	10	14	13.60
Prior Work	5	3.5	3.5	4	3.5	4	3.70
Economic Benefit	2.5	1.25	2.25	2	1.75	1.75	1.80
Independent Professionals	2.5	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25
Average Hourly Rate	45	45	45	45	45	45	45.00
Cost Justification	5	2.5	4	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.40
TOTAL	150	115.7	129.7	120.85	103.4	120.9	118.11

### **Final Evaluation Summary**

	<b>Total Points</b>						
Proposal #4	Possible	Scorer #1	Scorer #2	Scorer #3	Scorer #4	Scorer #5	Average
Approach to Task (Scope of Work)							
(a-1) Responses to work statement	25	17.5	12.5	17.5	12.5	17.5	15.50
(a-2) Ability to carry out tasks	10	5	5	5	5	5	5.00
(b) Task 2 - Evaluation Advisory Committee	8	4	4	2	4	4	3.60
(c) Task 3 - Evaluation Planning	10	7	8	5	5	7	6.40
(d) Task 4 - Develop Recommendations	2	1.4	1.4	1.4	1	1	1.24
(e) Task 5 - Assess Web Tool	5	3.5	4.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.70
(g) Task 6 - EMV&R of ARFVTP	10	5	7	5	5	7	5.80
Prime Contractor's Qualifications	20	10	10	10	10	10	10.00
Prior Work	5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	3.5	2.70
Economic Benefit	2.5	1.75	2.25	1.75	1.75	2.25	1.95
Independent Professionals	2.5	1.75	2	2	1.75	1.75	1.85
Average Hourly Rate	45	36.45	36.45	36.45	36.45	36.45	36.45
Cost Justification	5	3.5	4	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.60
TOTAL	150	99.35	99.6	95.6	91.95	102.45	97.79

## Preference Points Calculation High Score

Total Possible
Points
Highest
Technical Score
122.06

									TACPA/ EZA/	
	Total Average	SB Pref		Non-SB	Non-SB Pref	Incentive	Incentive	LAMBRA	LAMBRA Pref	
#	Score	%	SB Pref Points	Pref %	Points	%	Points	Pref %	Points	Final Score
Proposal 1	122.06	0%	0	0%	0	2%	3	0%	0	125.06
Proposal 2	0		0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0
Proposal 3	118.11		0	0%	0	2%	3	0%	0	121.11
Proposal 4	97.79	0%	0	0%	0	1%	1.5	0%	0	99.29